

Derandomization (I)

Yijia Chen

Shanghai Jiao Tong University

November 11, 2012

RANDOMIZED COMPUTATION

Definition

A *probabilistic Turing machine* (PTM) is a Turing machine with two transition functions δ_0 and δ_1 . To execute a PTM \mathbb{M} on an input x , we choose in each step independently with probability $1/2$ to apply the transition function δ_0 and with probability $1/2$ to apply δ_1 .

The machine only outputs 1 (Accept) or 0 (Reject). $\mathbb{M}(x)$ is the random variable corresponding to the value \mathbb{M} writes at the end of this process.

For a function $T : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, we say that \mathbb{M} runs in $T(n)$ -time if for any input x , \mathbb{M} halts on x within $T(|x|)$ steps **regardless of the random choices it makes**.

Definition

For $T : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ and $L \subseteq \{0, 1\}^*$, a PTM \mathbb{M} decides L in time $T(n)$, if for every $x \in \{0, 1\}^*$, the machine \mathbb{M} halts in $T(|x|)$ steps (i.e., \mathbb{M} runs in $T(n)$ -time), and

$$\Pr[\mathbb{M}(x) = L(x)] \geq \frac{2}{3},$$

where $L(x) = 1$ if $x \in L$ and $L(x) = 0$ if $x \notin L$.

Then $\mathbf{BPTIME}(T(n))$ is the class of languages decided by PTMs in $O(T(n))$ time and

$$\mathbf{BPP} := \bigcup_{d \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbf{BPTIME}(n^d).$$

Theorem

$\mathbf{P} \subseteq \mathbf{BPP}$.

Remark. *It is open whether $\mathbf{BPP} \subseteq \mathbf{NP}$ or $\mathbf{NP} \subseteq \mathbf{BPP}$.*

Conjecture

$\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{BPP}$.

Theorem

$L \in \mathbf{BPP}$ if and only if there exists a polynomial-time TM \mathbb{M} and a polynomial $p \in \mathbb{N}[X]$ such that for every $x \in \{0, 1\}^*$,

$$\Pr_{r \in \{0,1\}^{p(|x|)}} [\mathbb{M}(x, r) = L(x)] \geq \frac{2}{3}.$$

Definition

An n -variable algebraic circuit is a directed acyclic graph with the sources labeled by a variable name from the set x_1, \dots, x_n , and each non-source node has in-degree two and is labeled by an operator from the set $\{+, -, \times\}$. There is a single sink in the graph, i.e., the *output* node.

Definition

ZEROP = $\{C \mid C \text{ an algebraic circuit that always outputs zero}\}$.

Why ZEROP looks difficult?

The polynomial

$$\prod_{i \in [n]} (1 + x_i)$$

can be computed using a circuit of size $2 \cdot n$ but has 2^n terms in its coefficient representation.

Lemma

Let $p(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ be a polynomial of *total degree at most d* and S a finite set of integers. When a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n are randomly chosen *with replacement* from S , then

$$\Pr [p(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \neq 0] \geq 1 - \frac{d}{|S|}.$$

A naive algorithm

A circuit of size m on n variables defines a polynomial of **degree at most 2^m** .

1. Choose n random numbers x_1, \dots, x_n from 1 to $10 \cdot 2^m$ (**this requires $O(n \cdot m)$ random bits**).
2. Evaluate the circuit C on x_1, \dots, x_n to obtain an output y .
3. Accept if $y = 0$, and reject otherwise.

Problematic: intermediate values as large as

$$(10 \cdot 2^m)^{2^m}.$$

1. Choose n random numbers x_1, \dots, x_n from 1 to $10 \cdot 2^m$.
2. Choose a random number $k \in [2^{2 \cdot m}]$ uniformly at random.
3. Evaluate the circuit C on x_1, \dots, x_n *modulo* k to obtain an output $y \bmod k$ where $y = C(x_1, \dots, x_n)$.
4. Accept if $y \bmod k = 0$, and reject otherwise.

The correctness of the algorithm

Trivially $\Pr[\mathbb{M} \text{ accepts } C] = 1$, if $C = 0$. So assume $C \neq 0$, then we will show

$$\Pr[\mathbb{M} \text{ rejects } C] \geq \delta,$$

where $\delta = 1/(4 \cdot m)$.

Let $S := \{p_1, \dots, p_\ell\}$ be the distinct prime factors of y .

By the **Prime Number Theorem**,

$$\Pr_{k \in [2^{2 \cdot m}]} [k \text{ is prime}] \geq \frac{1}{2 \cdot m} = 2 \cdot \delta.$$

y can have at most $\log y \leq 5 \cdot m \cdot 2^m$ distinct factors,

$$\Pr[k \in S] \leq \frac{5 \cdot m \cdot 2^m}{2^{2 \cdot m}} < \delta$$

Hence, $\Pr[k \text{ does not divide } y] \geq \Pr[k \text{ is a prime not in } S] \geq 2 \cdot \delta - \delta = \delta$.

PSEUDORANDOM GENERATORS

Definition

Let R be a distribution over $\{0, 1\}^m$, $S \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then R is an (S, ε) -pseudorandom distribution if for every circuit C of size at most S ,

$$|\Pr[C(R) = 1] - \Pr[C(U_m) = 1]| < \varepsilon,$$

where U_m is the uniform distribution over $\{0, 1\}^m$.

Let $S : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be a function. A 2^n -time computable function $G : \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$ is an $S(\ell)$ -pseudorandom generator if $|G(z)| = S(|z|)$ for every $z \in \{0, 1\}^*$ and for every $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ the distribution $G(U_\ell)$ is $(S(\ell)^3, 1/10)$ -pseudorandom.

Theorem

*If there exists a $2^{\lceil \ell/a \rceil}$ -pseudorandom generator for some constant $a \in \mathbb{N}$ then **BPP** = **P**.*

Proof (1)

Let $L \in \mathbf{BPP}$. Assume that there is an algorithm \mathbb{A} that on input $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$ runs in time $n^d = 2^{d \cdot a \cdot \log n / a}$ for some constant $d \in \mathbb{N}$, such that

$$\Pr_{r \in \{0,1\}^{n^d}} [\mathbb{A}(x, r) = L(x)] \geq \frac{2}{3}.$$

Consider the *deterministic algorithm* \mathbb{B} :

On input $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$, go over all $z \in \{0, 1\}^{d \cdot a \cdot \log n}$, compute $\mathbb{A}(x, G(z))$ and output the *majority answer*.

\mathbb{B} runs in time $2^{O(d \cdot a \cdot \log n)} = n^{O(1)}$.

Proof (2)

Claim: Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$

$$\Pr_{z \in \{0,1\}^{d \cdot a \cdot \log n}} [\mathbb{A}(x, G(z)) = L(x)] \geq \frac{2}{3} - 0.1.$$

Assume otherwise, then

$$\Pr_{r \in \{0,1\}^{n^d}} [\mathbb{A}(x, r) = L(x)] - \Pr_{z \in \{0,1\}^{d \cdot a \cdot \log n}} [\mathbb{A}(x, G(z)) = L(x)] > 0.1.$$

Consider the circuit C defined by

$$C(r) \mapsto \mathbb{A}(x, r).$$

If $L(x) = 1$, then $\Pr [C(U_{n^d}) = 1] - \Pr [C(G(U_{d \cdot a \cdot \log n})) = 1] > 0.1$,

If $L(x) = 0$, then $\Pr [C(G(U_{d \cdot a \cdot \log n})) = 1] - \Pr [C(U_{n^d}) = 1] > 0.1$.

THANK YOU