Algorithmic Paradigms

Greedy. Build up a solution incrementally, myopically optimizing some



# Chapter 6

Dynamic Programming

Slides by Kevin Wayne. Copyright © 2005 Pearson-Addison Wesley.

All rights reserved.

Divide-and-conquer. Break up a problem into sub-problems, solve each sub-problem independently, and combine solution to sub-problems to form solution to original problem.

 $\overline{\mathbf{3}}$ 

local criterion.

Dynamic programming. Break up a problem into a series of overlapping sub-problems, and build up solutions to larger and larger sub-problems.

Dynamic Programming Applications

The original and official versions are at http://www.cs.princeton.edu/~wayne/

Acknowledgement: This lecture slide is revised and authorized from Prof. Kevin Wayne's Class

### Areas.

- Bioinformatics.
- Control theory.
- Information theory.
- Operations research.
- Computer science: theory, graphics, AI, compilers, systems, ….

### Some famous dynamic programming algorithms.

- Unix diff for comparing two files.
- Viterbi for hidden Markov models.
- Smith-Waterman for genetic sequence alignment.
- $\,$   $\,$  Bellman-Ford for shortest path routing in networks.
- Cocke-Kasami-Younger for parsing context free grammars.

# Weighted Interval Scheduling

Weighted Interval Scheduling

# Weighted interval scheduling problem.

- $\,$  Job j starts at  $s_{\mathrm{j}}$ , finishes at  $\mathsf{f}_{\mathrm{j}}$ , and has weight or value  $\mathsf{v}_{\mathrm{j}}$  .
- Two jobs compatible if they don't overlap.
- Goal: find maximum weight subset of mutually compatible jobs.



Weighted Interval Scheduling

Notation. Label jobs by finishing time:  $f_1 \leq f_2 \leq \ldots \leq f_n$ . Def.  $p(j)$  = largest index i < j such that job i is compatible with j.

Ex:  $p(8) = 5$ ,  $p(7) = 3$ ,  $p(2) = 0$ .



Recall. Greedy algorithm works if all weights are 1.

- Consider jobs in ascending order of finish time.
- Add job to subset if it is compatible with previously chosen jobs.

Observation. Greedy algorithm can fail spectacularly if arbitrary weights are allowed.



# Dynamic Programming: Binary Choice

Notation. OPT(j) = value of optimal solution to the problem consisting of job requests  $1, 2, ..., j$ .

- Case 1: OPT selects job j.
	- collect profit v<sub>j</sub>

5

7

- can't use incompatible jobs { p(j) + 1, p(j) + 2, ..., j 1 }
- must include optimal solution to problem consisting of remaining compatible jobs 1, 2, ..., p(j)
	- optimal substructure
- Case 2: OPT does not select job j.
	- must include optimal solution to problem consisting of remaining compatible jobs 1, 2, ..., j-1

 $OPT(j) =$  $f(j) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j = 0 \\ \max \{ v_j + OPT(p(j)), \text{ } OPT(j-1) \} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$  $\mathbf{r}$ ¯

Weighted Interval Scheduling: Brute Force

### Brute force algorithm.

```
Input: n, s_1, ..., s_n f<sub>1</sub>,…,f<sub>n</sub> v_1, ..., v_nSort jobs by finish times so that f_1 \leq f_2 \leq \ldots \leq f_n.
      Compute p(1), p(2), …, p(n)
     Compute-Opt(j) {if (j = 0)
             return 0
         else
return max(vj + Compute-Opt(p(j)), Compute-Opt(j-1))
      }9redundant sub-problems \Rightarrow exponential algorithms.
                                                                                                   Ex. Number of recursive calls for family of "layered" instances grows like Fibonacci sequence.
                                                                                                                         34512p(1) = 0, p(i) = i-254 3
                                                                                                                                                           3 2 2 1
                                                                                                                                                         2 1
                                                                                                                                                        0
                                                                                                                                                                  1 0 1 0
 Input: n, s_1, ..., s_n, f_1, ..., f_n, v_1, ..., v_nSort jobs by finish times so that f_1 \leq f_2 \leq \ldots \leq f_n.
  Compute p(1), p(2), …, p(n)
 for j = 1 to n
    r j = 1 to n<br>
M[j] = empty<br>
o] = 0 global array
 M[0] = 0M-Compute-Opt(j) {
if (M[j] is empty)
         M[j] = max(vj + M-Compute-Opt(p(j)), M-Compute-Opt(j-1))
      return M[j]
  }Weighted Interval Scheduling: MemoizationMemoization. Store results of each sub-problem in a cache;lookup as needed.
                                                                                                               Weighted Interval Scheduling: Running TimeClaim. Memoized version of algorithm takes O(n log n) time.
                                                                                                      Sort by finish time: O(n log n).
                                                                                                     - \, Computing p(\cdot): \, O(n log n) via sorting by start time.
                                                                                                     <code>. M-Compute-Opt(j): each invocation takes O(1) time and either</code>
                                                                                                        - (i) returns an existing value \texttt{M[j]}- (ii) fills in one new entry \texttt{M[j]} and makes two recursive calls
                                                                                                     Progress measure \Phi = # nonempty entries of <code>M[]</code>.
                                                                                                        - initially \Phi = 0, throughout \Phi \le n.
                                                                                                        - (ii) increases \Phi by 1 \Rightarrow at most 2n recursive calls.
                                                                                                     . Overall running time of M\text{-Compute-Opt}(n) is O(n).
                                                                                                   Remark. O(n) if jobs are pre-sorted by start and finish times.
```
Weighted Interval Scheduling: Brute Force

10

12

Observation. Recursive algorithm fails spectacularly because of

Weighted Interval Scheduling: Finding a Solution

- Q. Dynamic programming algorithms computes optimal value. What if we want the solution itself?
- A. Do some post-processing.

```
Run M-Compute-Opt(n)
Run Find-Solution(n)
Find-Solution(j) {if (j = 0)
      output nothing
else if (vj + M[p(j)] > M[j-1])
      print j
Find-Solution(p(j))else
Find-Solution(j-1)}
```
**.** # of recursive calls  $\leq n \Rightarrow O(n)$ .

# Segmented Least Squares

Weighted Interval Scheduling: Bottom-Up

Bottom-up dynamic programming. Unwind recursion.

```
Input: n, s1,…,sn , f1,…,fn , v1,…,vnSort jobs by finish times so that f_1 \leq f_2 \leq \ldots \leq f_n.
Compute p(1), p(2), …, p(n)
Iterative-Compute-Opt {M[0] = 0
for j = 1 to n
       M[j] = max(vj + M[p(j)], M[j-1])
```
Segmented Least Squares

#### Least squares.

**}**

13

- Foundational problem in statistic and numerical analysis.
- Given n points in the plane:  $(x_1, y_1)$ ,  $(x_2, y_2)$  , . . . ,  $(x_n, y_n)$ .
- $\blacksquare$  Find a line y = ax + b that minimizes the sum of the squared error:

$$
SSE = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - ax_i - b)^2
$$



$$
a = \frac{n \sum_{i} x_{i} y_{i} - (\sum_{i} x_{i}) (\sum_{i} y_{i})}{n \sum_{i} x_{i}^{2} - (\sum_{i} x_{i})^{2}}, \quad b = \frac{\sum_{i} y_{i} - a \sum_{i} x_{i}}{n}
$$

### Segmented Least Squares

### Segmented least squares.

- Points lie roughly on a sequence of several line segments.
- Given n points in the plane  $(x_1, y_1)$ ,  $(x_2, y_2)$  , . . . ,  $(x_n, y_n)$  with
- $\cdots$   $x_1$  <  $x_2$  < ... <  $x_n$ , find a sequence of lines that minimizes f(x).
- $Q.$  What's a reasonable choice for  $f(x)$  to balance accuracy and parsimony?goodness of fit



Dynamic Programming: Multiway Choice

# Notation.

- $\blacksquare$  OPT(j) = minimum cost for points  $\mathsf{p}_1$ ,  $\mathsf{p}_{\mathsf{i+1}}$  ,  $\ldots$  ,  $\mathsf{p}_{\mathsf{j}}$ .
- e(i, j)  $\,$  = minimum sum of squares for points  ${\sf p}_i,$   ${\sf p}_{i+1}$  ,  $\ldots$  ,  ${\sf p}_j.$

# To compute OPT(j):

 $\,$  Last segment uses points  $\mathsf{p}_\mathsf{i}, \mathsf{p}_{\mathsf{i+1}}$  ,  $\ldots$  ,  $\mathsf{p}_\mathsf{j}$  for some i. Cost = e(i, j) + c + OPT(i-1).

> *OPT*( *j*)  $\begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j = 0 \\ \min_{1 \le i \le j} \{ e(i, j) + c + OPT(i-1) \} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$  $1<$  $\mathbf{r}$  $\bigg\downarrow$

## Segmented least squares.

17

19

- Points lie roughly on a sequence of several line segments.
- Given n points in the plane  $(x_1, y_1)$ ,  $(x_2, y_2)$  , . . . ,  $(x_n, y_n)$  with
- $\cdots$   $x_1$  <  $x_2$  < ... <  $x_n$ , find a sequence of lines that minimizes:
	- the sum of the sums of the squared errors E in each segment– the number of lines L
- Tradeoff function:  $E + c L$ , for some constant  $c \ge 0$ .





18

Segmented Least Squares: Algorithm

```
INPUT: n, p_1, ..., p_N , c
Segmented-Least-Squares() {M[0] = 0
for j = 1 to n
       for i = 1 to j
          compute the least square error eij for
          the segment pi,…, pjfor j = 1 to n
       M[j] = min_{1 \le i \le j} (e_{ij} + c + M[i-1])return M[n]
}
```
 $\mathsf{Running\ time}\ \mathsf{O(n^3)}\ \ \textcolor{red} \smash{\mathop{}}\ \ \textcolor{blue} \smash{\mathop{}}\ \textcolor{blue}^{\mathsf{can\ be\ improved\ to\ O(n^2)\ by\ pre-computing various statistics}}$ 

Bottleneck = computing e(i, j) for  $O(n^2)$  pairs,  $O(n)$  per pair using previous formula.

22

24

#### Knapsack ProblemKnapsack problem. Given n objects and a "knapsack."Item i weighs w<sub>i</sub> > 0 kilograms and has value v<sub>i</sub> > 0. Knapsack has capacity of W kilograms. Goal: fill knapsack so as to maximize total value. Ex: { 3, 4 } has value 40.Greedy: <code>repeatedly</code> add item with maximum ratio v $_{\sf i}$  /  ${\sf w}_{\sf i}.$ Ex: {  $5, 2, 1$  } achieves only value =  $35 \Rightarrow$  greedy not optimal. **1**value**1822281**weight**566 <sup>2</sup> 7**#**13452 W = 11**Dynamic Programming: False StartDef. OPT(i) = max profit subset of items 1, …, i. Case 1: OPT does not select item i. – OPT selects best of { 1, 2, …, i-1 } Case 2: OPT selects item i. – accepting item i does not immediately imply that we will have to reject other items – without knowing what other items were selected before i, we don't even know if we have enough room for iConclusion. Need more sub-problems! Dynamic Programming: Adding a New VariableDef. OPT(i, w) = max profit subset of items 1, …, i with weight limit w. Case 1: OPT does not select item i. – OPT selects best of { 1, 2, …, i-1 } using weight limit w Case 2: OPT selects item i. – new weight limit = w – <sup>w</sup><sup>i</sup> – OPT selects best of { 1, 2, …, i–1 } using this new weight limit  $OPT(i, w) = \begin{cases} 0 \\ OPT(i \end{cases}$ 0 $i$  if  $i = 0$  $\begin{cases} OPT(i-1, w) & \text{if } w_i > w \\ \max \{ OPT(i-1, w), v_i + OPT(i-1, w-w_i) \} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$  $\mathbf{r}$



Secondary structure. RNA is single-stranded so it tends to loop back and form base pairs with itself. This structure is essential for understanding behavior of molecule.



RNA Secondary Structure: Examples

### Examples.



Secondary structure. A set of pairs  $S = \{ (b_i, b_i) \}$  that satisfy:

- [Watson-Crick.] S is a matching and each pair in S is a Watson-Crick complement: A-U, U-A, C-G, or G-C.
- [No sharp turns.] The ends of each pair are separated by at least 4 intervening bases. If  $(b_i, b_j) \in S$ , then i < j - 4.
- . [Non-crossing.] If (b $_{\mathsf{i}}$ , b $_{\mathsf{j}}$ ) and (b $_{\mathsf{k}}$ , b $_{\mathsf{l}}$ ) are two pairs in S, then we cannot have  $i \cdot k \cdot j \cdot l$ .

Free energy. Usual hypothesis is that an RNA molecule will form thesecondary structure with the optimum total free energy.

approximate by number of base pairs

Goal. Given an RNA molecule  $B = b_1b_2...b_n$ , find a secondary structure S that maximizes the number of base pairs.

RNA Secondary Structure: Subproblems

First attempt.  $OPT(j)$  = maximum number of base pairs in a secondary structure of the substring  $b_1b_2...b_i$ .



Difficulty. Results in two sub-problems.

31

- Finding secondary structure in:  $\mathsf{b}_1\mathsf{b}_2... \mathsf{b}_{\mathsf{t-1}}.$
- Finding secondary structure in:  $\mathsf{b}_{\mathsf{t}+\mathsf{1}}\mathsf{b}_{\mathsf{t}+\mathsf{2}}... \mathsf{b}_{\mathsf{n} \mathsf{1}}.$

need more sub-problems

 $\overline{\phantom{0}}$  OPT(t-1)

32

### Dynamic Programming Over Intervals

Notation. OPT(i, j) = maximum number of base pairs in a secondary structure of the substring  $b_i b_{i+1} \ldots b_i$ .

- . Case 1. If  $i \ge j$  4. – OPT(i, j) = 0 by no-sharp turns condition.
- .Case 2.Base b<sub>j</sub> is not involved in a pair. – OPT(i, j) = OPT(i, j-1)
- $\,$  Case 3. Base  $\mathsf{b}_\mathsf{j}$  pairs with  $\mathsf{b}_\mathsf{t}$  for some i  $\leq$  t <  $\mathsf{j}$  4. – non-crossing constraint decouples resulting sub-problems- OPT(i, j) = 1 + max<sub>t</sub> { OPT(i, t-1) + OPT(t+1, j-1) }

```
take max over t such that i \leq t < j-4 and
b<sub>t</sub> and b<sub>j</sub> are Watson-Crick complements
```
Remark. Same core idea in CKY algorithm to parse context-free grammars.

Dynamic Programming Summary

### Recipe.

- Characterize structure of problem.
- Recursively define value of optimal solution.
- Compute value of optimal solution.
- Construct optimal solution from computed information.

### Dynamic programming techniques.

- Binary choice: weighted interval scheduling.
- . Multi-way choice: segmented least squares.  $\leq$  DP to optimize a maximum likelihood
- Adding a new variable: knapsack.
- Dynamic programming over intervals: RNA secondary structure.

CKY parsing algorithm for context-freegrammar has similar structure

Viterbi algorithm for HMM also usestradeoff between parsimony and accuracy

Top-down vs. bottom-up: different people have different intuitions.

## Bottom Up Dynamic Programming Over Intervals

Q. What order to solve the sub-problems?

A. Do shortest intervals first.

Running time. O(n<sup>3</sup>).

**RNA**( $b_1$ , ..., $b_n$ ) { **for k = 5, 6, …, n-1 for i = 1, 2, …, n-k j = i + k Compute M[i, j]return M[1, n] }**using recurrence



34

# Sequence Alignment

String Similarity



39

Sequence Alignment: Algorithm

```
\texttt{Sequence-Alignment(m, n, x_1x_2...x_m, y_1y_2...y_n, \delta, \alpha)}for i = 0 to m
      M[i, 0] = i\delta
for j = 0 to n
      M[0, j] = jGfor i = 1 to m
       for j = 1 to n
          M[i, j] = min(\alpha[x_i, y_j] + M[i-1, j-1],G + M[i-1, j],
G + M[i, j-1])return M[m, n]
}
```
Analysis.  $\Theta$ (mn) time and space. English words or sentences:  $m, n \le 10$ . Computational biology: m = n = 100,000. 10 billions ops OK, but 10GB array?

Sequence Alignment: Linear Space

Q. Can we avoid using quadratic space?

Easy. Optimal value in O(m + n) space and O(mn) time.

- $\,$  Compute OPT(i,  $\cdot$ ) from OPT(i-1,  $\cdot$ ).
- No longer a simple way to recover alignment itself.

Theorem. [Hirschberg 1975] Optimal alignment in O(m + n) space and O(mn) time.

- Clever combination of divide-and-conquer and dynamic programming.
- Inspired by idea of Savitch from complexity theory.

Sequence Alignment: Linear Space

### Edit distance graph.

- $\blacksquare$  Let  $\mathsf{f}(\mathsf{i},\mathsf{j})$  be shortest path from (0,0) to (i,  $\mathsf{j}$ ).
- $\,$  Observation:  $\, {\sf f}({\sf i},\, {\sf j})$  = OPT(i,  ${\sf j}$ ).



43

41

# Sequence Alignment in Linear Space

Sequence Alignment: Linear Space

## Edit distance graph.

- $\blacksquare$  Let  $\mathsf{f}(\mathsf{i},\mathsf{j})$  be shortest path from (0,0) to (i,  $\mathsf{j}$ ).
- $\,$  Can compute  $\mathsf{f}\left(\cdot,\mathsf{j}\right)$  for any  $\mathsf{j}$  in  $O(\mathsf{m}\mathsf{n})$  time and  $O(\mathsf{m}+\mathsf{n})$  space.



Sequence Alignment: Linear Space

## Edit distance graph.

- $\blacksquare$  Let g(i, j) be shortest path from (i, j) to (m, n).
- $\,$  Can compute g( $\cdot$ , j) for any j in O(mn) time and O(m + n) space.



# Edit distance graph.

- $\blacksquare$  Let g(i, j) be shortest path from (i, j) to (m, n).
- Can compute by reversing the edge orientations and inverting the roles of (0, 0) and (m, n)





46

48

Observation 1. The cost of the shortest path that uses (i, j) is $f(i, j) + g(i, j)$ .



Sequence Alignment: Linear Space

Observation 2. let q be an index that minimizes  $f(q, n/2) + q(q, n/2)$ . Then, the shortest path from  $(0, 0)$  to  $(m, n)$  uses  $(q, n/2)$ .



Sequence Alignment: Running Time Analysis Warmup

Theorem. Let  $T(m, n)$  = max running time of algorithm on strings of length at most m and n.  $T(m, n) = O(mn \log n)$ .

 $T(m, n) \leq 2T(m, n/2) + O(mn) \Rightarrow T(m, n) = O(mn \log n)$ 

Remark. Analysis is not tight because two sub-problems are of size(q, n/2) and (m - q, n/2). In next slide, we save log n factor.

Divide: find index q that minimizes  $f(q, n/2) + q(q, n/2)$  using DP. - Align  $x_q$  and  $y_{n/2}$ .

Conquer: recursively compute optimal alignment in each piece.





Theorem. Let T(m, n) = max running time of algorithm on strings of length m and n.  $T(m, n) = O(mn)$ .

- Pf. (by induction on n)
- $\,$   $\,$  O(mn) time to compute f(  $\cdot$  , n/2) and  $g$  (  $\cdot$  , n/2) and find index q.
- $\blacksquare$  T(q, n/2) + T(m q, n/2) time for two recursive calls.
- Choose constant c so that:

```
T(m, 2) \leq cmT(2, n) \leq cnT(m, n) \leq cmn + T(q, n/2) + T(m-q, n/2)
```
Base cases:  $m = 2$  or  $n = 2$ .

**Inductive hypothesis:**  $T(m, n) \leq 2$ cmn.

```
= 2cmn
        cqn cmn cqn cmn

        \leq 2cqn/2 + 2c(m-q)n/2 + cmnT(m,n) \leq T(q,n/2) + T(m-q,n/2) + cmn
```
52