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Quick review

## Relational model

Proposed in 1970 by Edgar F. Codd.
The most successful database abstraction

- Store database in simple data structures
- Access data through high-level language
- Physical storage left up to implementation


Figure: Edgar Frank Codd
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## Relation model

- A database is a collection of relations and each relation is an unordered set of tuples (or rows).
- Each relation has a set of attributes (or columns).
- Each attribute has a name and a domain and each tuple has a value for each attribute of the relation.

| ID | Artist | Year | City |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Mozart | 1756 | Salzburg |
| 2 | Beethoven | 1770 | Bonn |
| 3 | Chopin | 1810 | Warsaw |
| Table: Artists(ID, Artist, Year, City) |  |  |  |

## A relational database example

| ID | Album | Artist_ID | Year |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | The Marriage of Figaro | 1 | 1786 |
| 2 | Requiem Mass In D minor | 1 | 1791 |
| 3 | Für Elise | 2 | 1867 |

Table: Albums(ID, Album, Artist_ID, Year)

| ID | Artist | Year | City |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Mozart | 1756 | Salzburg |
| 2 | Beethoven | 1770 | Bonn |
| 3 | Chopin | 1810 | Warsaw |

Table: Artists(ID, Artist, Year, City)

| Artist_ID | Album_ID |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 2 |
| 2 | 3 |

Table: ArtistAlbum(Artist_ID, Album_ID)
$K \subseteq\left\{A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{n}\right\}$ is a superkey of schema $R\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}\right)$ if values for $K$ are sufficient to identify a unique tuple for each possible relation instance of $R\left(A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{n}\right)$.

A superkey K is a candidate key if K is minimal.

| ID | Artist | Year | City |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Mozart | 1756 | Salzburg |
| 2 | Beethoven | 1770 | Bonn |
| 3 | Chopin | 1810 | Warsaw |
| Table: Artists(ID, Artist, Year, City) |  |  |  |

## Primary key

A primary key is a designated candidate key of a relation.
Some DBMSs automatically create an internal primary key if we don't define one.

| ID | Artist | Year | City |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Mozart | 1756 | Salzburg |
| 2 | Beethoven | 1770 | Bonn |
| 3 | Chopin | 1810 | Warsaw |
| Table: Artists(ID, Artist, Year, City) |  |  |  |

```
create table Artists(
    ID, vchar(8),
    Artist, vchar(20) not null,
    Year, numeric(4,0),
    City, vchar(20),
    primary key (ID)
)
```


## Foreign key

A foreign key specifies that a tuple from one relation must map to a tuple in another relation.

| ID | Album | Artist_ID | Year |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | The Marriage of Figaro | 1 | 1786 |
| 2 | Requiem Mass In D minor | 1 | 1791 |
| 3 | Für Elise | 2 | 1867 |

Table: Albums(ID, Album, Artist_ID, Year)

| ID | Artist | Year | City |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Mozart | 1756 | Salzburg |
| 2 | Beethoven | 1770 | Bonn |
| 3 | Chopin | 1810 | Warsaw |

Table: Artists(ID, Artist, Year, City)

| Artist_ID | Album_ID |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 2 |
| 2 | 3 |

Table: ArtistAlbum(Artist_ID, Album_ID)

## Foreign key (cont'd)

Foreign key constraint

The referencing attribute(s) must be the primary key of the referenced relation.

```
create table ArtistAlbum(
    Artist_ID, vchar(8),
    Albumn_ID, vchar(8),
    primary key (Artist_ID, Albumn_ID),
    foreign key (Artist_ID) references Artists,
    foreign key (Albumn_ID) references Albumns,
)
```

- Referencing relation: ArtistAlbum
- Referencing attributes: Artist_ID, Album_ID
- Referenced relations: Artist, Album

Relational algebra

## Relational algebra

- A language for querying relational data based on fundamental relational operations.
- Each operation takes one or more relations (i.e., tables) as its input and output a new relation.
- Compose operations to make complex queries.
- Selection $\sigma_{p}(R)$
- Projection $\Pi_{A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k}}(R)$
- Product R $\times$ S
- Union R $\cup S$
- Difference R-S
- Renaming $\rho_{S\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k}\right)}(R), \rho_{S}(R)$


## Selection

The selection operation selects tuples that satisfy a given predicate.

- Notation:

$$
\sigma_{p}(R)
$$

- $R$ is the input relation and $p$ is the selection predicate.


## Example

The following operation

$$
\sigma_{\text {dept_name }=\text { "Physics" }}(\text { instructor })
$$

gets all the instructors in the Physics department.

| $I D$ | name | dept_name | salary |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 10101 | Srinivasan | Comp. Sci. | 65000 |
| 12121 | Wu | Finance | 90000 |
| 15151 | Mozart | Music | 40000 |
| 22222 | Einstein | Physics | 95000 |
| 32343 | El Said | History | 60000 |
| 33456 | Gold | Physics | 87000 |
| 45565 | Katz | Comp. Sci. | 75000 |
| 58583 | Califieri | History | 62000 |
| 76543 | Singh | Finance | 80000 |
| 76766 | Crick | Biology | 72000 |
| 83821 | Brandt | Comp. Sci. | 92000 |
| 98345 | Kim | Elec. Eng. | 80000 |

Figure: The instructor relation

## Selection (cont'd)

- Boolean connectives $=, \neq,<, \leqslant,>$ and $\geqslant$ are allowed in predicates.
- Combine predicates with logical connectives $\wedge$ (and), $\vee$ (or), $\neg$ (not).


## Example

- $\sigma_{\text {dept_name }}=$ "Physics" $\wedge$ salary $<90000$ (instructor)
- $\sigma_{\text {dept_name=building }}$ (department)

| dept_name | building | budget |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Biology | Watson | 90000 |
| Comp. Sci. | Taylor | 100000 |
| Elec. Eng. | Taylor | 85000 |
| Finance | Painter | 120000 |
| History | Painter | 50000 |
| Music | Packard | 80000 |
| Physics | Watson | 70000 |

Figure: The department relation

## Projection

The projection produces from $R$ a new relation $R^{\prime}$ that has only some of $R^{\prime} s$ attributes.

- Notation:

$$
\Pi_{A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}}(R)
$$

- $R$ is the input relation and $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}$ are attributes of $R$.


## Example

- $\Pi_{I D, n a m e, s a l a r y ~(i n s t r u c t o r) ~}^{\text {a }}$
- $\Pi_{I D, s a l a r y, n a m e}$ (instructor)
- $\Pi_{I D, \text { name,salary } / 12 \text { (instructor) }}$

| $I D$ | name | salary |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 10101 | Srinivasan | 65000 |
| 12121 | Wu | 90000 |
| 15151 | Mozart | 40000 |
| 22222 | Einstein | 95000 |
| 32343 | El Said | 60000 |
| 33456 | Gold | 87000 |
| 45565 | Katz | 75000 |
| 58583 | Califieri | 62000 |
| 76543 | Singh | 80000 |
| 76766 | Crick | 72000 |
| 83821 | Brandt | 92000 |
| 98345 | Kim | 80000 |

## Projection (cont'd)

Duplicated output tuples are removed (by definition).

| A | B |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 |
| 1 | 3 |
| 2 | 3 |

Table: $R(A, B)$


Table: $\Pi_{A}(\mathrm{R})$


Table: $\Pi_{B}(R)$

Remark. Standard relational algebra uses set semantics.

## Composition of relational operations

- The input and output of an relational algebra operation are both relations.
- We can compose multiple operations into one single relational algebra expression.

Example

$$
\Pi_{\text {name }}\left(\sigma_{\text {dept_name }=\text { "Physics" }}(\text { instructor })\right)
$$

## Cartesian Product

The Cartesian product (or just product) of two relations R and S , denoted as

$$
R \times S
$$

is the set of all possible combinations of tuples from $R$ and $S$.

| A | B |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 |
| 3 | 4 |

Table: $R(A, B)$

| B | C |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 6 |
| 3 | 8 |


| R.A | R.B | S.B | S.C |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 2 | 2 | 6 |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 8 |
| 3 | 4 | 2 | 6 |
| 3 | 4 | 3 | 8 |

Table: S(B,C)
Table: $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{S}$

Remark. For simplicity, we shall drop the relation name prefix for the attributes that appear only in $R$ or $S$. E.g., we can also write ( $A, R . B, S . B, C$ ) as the schema of $R \times S$.

## Consider two tables

- instructor(ID, name, dept_name, salary)
- teaches(IID, course_id, semester, year)

We wan to find all the information about the instructors and the courses they have taught.

| instructor.ID | name | dept_name | salary | teaches.ID | course_id | secid | semester | year |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 10101 | Srinivasan | Comp. Sci. | 65000 | 10101 | CS-101 | 1 | Fall | 2017 |
| 10101 | Srinivasan | Comp. Sci. | 65000 | 10101 | CS-315 | 1 | Spring | 2018 |
| 10101 | Srinivasan | Comp. Sci. | 65000 | 10101 | CS-347 | 1 | Fall | 2017 |
| 12121 | Wu | Finance | 90000 | 12121 | FIN-201 | 1 | Spring | 2018 |
| 15151 | Mozart | Music | 40000 | 15151 | MU-199 | 1 | Spring | 2018 |
| 22222 | Einstein | Physics | 95000 | 22222 | PHY-101 | 1 | Fall | 2017 |
| 32343 | El Said | History | 60000 | 32343 | HIS-351 | 1 | Spring | 2018 |
| 45565 | Katz | Comp. Sci. | 75000 | 45565 | CS-101 | 1 | Spring | 2018 |
| 45565 | Katz | Comp. Sci. | 75000 | 45565 | CS-319 | 1 | Spring | 2018 |
| 76766 | Crick | Biology | 72000 | 76766 | BIO-101 | 1 | Summer | 2017 |
| 76766 | Crick | Biology | 72000 | 76766 | BIO-301 | 1 | Summer | 2018 |
| 83821 | Brandt | Comp. Sci. | 92000 | 83821 | CS-190 | 1 | Spring | 2017 |
| 83821 | Brandt | Comp. Sci. | 92000 | 83821 | CS-190 | 2 | Spring | 2017 |
| 83821 | Brandt | Comp. Sci. | 92000 | 83821 | CS-319 | 2 | Spring | 2018 |
| 98345 | Kim | Elec. Eng. | 80000 | 98345 | EE-181 | 1 | Spring | 2017 |

Figure: $\sigma_{\text {instructor.ID }=\text { teaches.ID }}$ (instructor $\times$ teaches)

## Theta Join

The theta join (or just join) operation allows us to combine a selection operation and a Cartesian-production operation into a single operation.

$$
R \bowtie_{\theta} S=\sigma_{\theta}(R \times S)
$$

Here, $\theta$ is used to referred to as the join condition.

## Example

The following expressions are equivalent.

- $\sigma_{\text {instructor.ID }=\text { teaches.ID }}$ (instructor $\times$ teaches)
- instructor $\bowtie_{\text {instructor.ID=teaches.ID }}$ teaches


## Natural Join

The natural join of $R$ and $S$, written as

## $R \bowtie S$

combines the tuples from $R$ and $S$ based on their common attributes.

| $A$ | $B$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 |
| 3 | 4 |

Table: $R(A, B)$


Table: S(B,C)


Table: $\mathrm{R} \bowtie S$

The schema of $R \bowtie S$ can be expressed as $\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{i}, C_{1}, \ldots, C_{k}, B_{1}, \ldots, B_{j}\right)$, where

- $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{k}$ are the common attributes of $R$ and $S$
- $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{i}$ are the attributes occur in $R$ but not $S$
- $B_{1}, \ldots, B_{j}$ are the attributes occur in $S$ but not $R$


## Union

The union of $R$ and $S$, denoted as

$$
R \cup S
$$

consists of all the tuples that appear in $R$ or $S$.
Duplicated tuples are removed (by set semantics).
The union operation requires that the schema of $R$ and $S$ must be compatible.

- $R$ and $S$ must have the same arity, i.e., they have the same number of attributes.
- The attribute domains must be compatible.


## Example

Find all courses taught in the Fall 2017 semester or

## course_id

CS-101
CS-315
CS-319
CS-347
FIN-201
HIS-351
MU-199
PHY-101
$\Pi_{\text {course_id }}\left(\sigma_{\text {semester }}=\right.$ "Fall" $\wedge$ year $\left.=2017\right)$ (section)
$\cup \Pi_{\text {course_id }}\left(\sigma_{\text {semester="Spring" }} \wedge\right.$ year $\left.=2018\right)$ (section)

## Difference

The difference of $R$ and $S$, denoted as

$$
R-S
$$

consists of all the tuples appear in the table $R$ but not in the table $S$.
Like the union operation, it also requires the schema of $R$ and $S$ to be compatible.

## Example

Find the set of all courses taught Fall 2017 semester, but not in the Spring 2018 semester.

$$
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \text { course_id } \\
\hline \hline \text { CS-101 } \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Pi_{\text {course_id }}\left(\sigma_{\text {semester }}=\text { "Fall" } \wedge \text { year }=2017\right)(\text { section }) \\
& -\Pi_{\text {course_id }}\left(\sigma_{\text {semester=" Spring" }} \wedge \text { year }=2018\right)(\text { section })
\end{aligned}
$$

## Renaming

The renaming operation

$$
\rho_{S\left(A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{n}\right)}(R)
$$

changes the name of relation $R$ to $S$. Moreover, the attributes in $S$ are named to $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots$, $A_{n}$, in order from left to right.

We use $\rho_{S}(R)$ if we only want to rename the relation and leave the attributes intact.

| A | B |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 |
| 3 | 4 |

Table: $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B})$

Table: $R(A, B)$

| $B$ | $C$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 6 |
| 3 | 8 |

Table: S(B,C)

| X | Y | Z | W |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 2 | 2 | 6 |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 8 |
| 3 | 4 | 2 | 6 |
| 3 | 4 | 3 | 8 |

Table: $\rho_{R S(X, Y, Z, W)}(R \times S)$

- Selection $\sigma_{p}(R)$
- Projection $\Pi_{A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k}}(R)$
- Product $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{S}$
- Union $R \cup S$
- Difference R-S
- Renaming $\rho_{S\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k}\right)}(R), \rho_{S}(R)$
- Join $R \bowtie_{\theta} S, R \bowtie S$


## Exercise: let's find the highest salary

| ID | name | dept_name | salary |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 10101 | Srinivasan | Comp. Sci. | 65000 |
| 12121 | Wu | Finance | 90000 |
| 15151 | Mozart | Music | 40000 |
| 22222 | Einstein | Physics | 95000 |
| 32343 | El Said | History | 60000 |
| 33456 | Gold | Physics | 87000 |
| 45565 | Katz | Comp. Sci. | 75000 |
| 58583 | Califieri | History | 62000 |
| 76543 | Singh | Finance | 80000 |
| 76766 | Crick | Biology | 72000 |
| 83821 | Brandt | Comp. Sci. | 92000 |
| 98345 | Kim | Elec. Eng. | 80000 |

Figure: The instructor table

```
\(\Pi_{\text {salary }}(\) instructor \()-\Pi_{\text {instructor.salary }}\left(\right.\) instructor \(\bowtie_{\text {instructpor.salary }<\text { d.salary }} \rho_{\mathrm{d}}\) (instructor) \()\)
```

Remark. We will NOT use such query in practice.

## Extensions to relational algebra

- Relational algebra with bag semantics
- Grouping and aggregation
- ...

We will return to these when we talk about SQL in the next lecture.
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