

Relational Database Design Theory (I)

March 24, 2023

Announcements

- Assignment (II) due: **April 2, 2023**
- The first quiz: April 7, 2023

Agenda

- Functional dependency theory (this lecture)
- NF's and decomposition algorithms (next lecture)

► Functional Dependency Theory

Functional dependencies

Let $X = \{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$ and $Y = \{B_1, \dots, B_m\}$ be sets of attributes.

Definition

[Functional dependency]

A **functional dependency** (FD) is of the form

$$X \rightarrow Y$$

that requires the attributes of X **functionally determining** the attributes Y .

In particular, a relation R **satisfies** $X \rightarrow Y$ if for every two tuples t_1 and t_2 of R

$$\bigwedge_{i=1}^n t_1[A_i] = t_2[A_i] \rightarrow \bigwedge_{j=1}^m t_1[B_j] = t_2[B_j].$$

- FD's are **unique-value** constraints.
- A FD $X \rightarrow Y$ **holds** on a relational schema R if every instance of R satisfies $X \rightarrow Y$.
- If $Y \subseteq X$, then $X \rightarrow Y$ is **trivial**.

Notation convention

- $A_1 \dots A_n$ represents $\{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$.
- Attributes: A, B, C, D, E
- Sets of attributes: X, Y, Z
- XY represents $X \cup Y$

FD example

sid	cid	cname	room	grade
123	AI-3613	Database	1-108	A+
223	AI-3613	Database	1-108	B+
123	CS-101	CS Intro.	3-325	A
334	CS-101	CS Intro.	3-325	A-
345	ICE-1404P	Database	2-203	A

Table: R(sid, cid, cname, room, grade)

- $\text{cid} \rightarrow \text{cname}$
- $\text{cid} \rightarrow \text{room}$
- $\text{cid} \rightarrow \{\text{cname}, \text{room}\}$
- $\text{sid}, \text{cid} \rightarrow \text{grade}$

Definition

A set X of attributes is a **candidate key** for relation R if

- X **functionally determines** all other attributes of R , i.e., X is a **superkey**.
- No proper subset of X functionally determines all other attributes of R .
 - That is, X is **minimal**.

A motivation to study FD's

sid	cid	cname	room	grade
123	AI-3613	Database	1-108	A+
223	AI-3613	Database	1-108	B+
123	CS-101	CS Intro.	3-325	A
334	CS-101	CS Intro.	3-325	A-
345	ICE-1404P	Database	2-203	A

Table: R(sid, cid, cname, room, grade)

- Data redundancy
- Update/insertion/deletion anomaly

Lossless join decomposition

Goal: Decompose R into R_1 and R_2 s.t.

$$R = R_1 \bowtie R_2$$

sid	cid	cname	room	grade
123	AI-3613	Database	1-108	A+
223	AI-3613	Database	1-108	B+
123	CS-101	CS Intro.	3-325	A
334	CS-101	CS Intro.	3-325	A-
345	ICE-1404P	Database	2-203	A

Table: $R(\text{sid}, \text{cid}, \text{cname}, \text{room}, \text{grade})$

s_id	c_id	grade
123	AI-3613	A+
223	AI-3613	B+
123	CS-101	A
334	CS-101	A-
345	ICE-1404P	A

Table: $R_1(\text{sid}, \text{cid}, \text{grade})$

c_id	cname	room
AI-3613	Database	1-108
CS-101	CS Intro.	3-325
ICE-1404P	Database	2-203

Table: $R_2(\text{cid}, \text{cname}, \text{room})$

- $R_1 \cap R_2 = \{\text{cid}\}$.
- cid is a superkey of R_2 , i.e., $\text{cid} \rightarrow \{\text{cid}, \text{cname}, \text{room}\}$.

Reasoning about FD's

Definition

- A set F of FD's **logically implies** a set G of FD's if every relation instance that satisfies all the FD's in F also satisfies all the FD's in G.
- F and G are **equivalent** if (i) F logically implies G and (ii) G logically implies F.

Example

- $\{A \rightarrow B\}$ logically implies $\{AC \rightarrow BC\}$.
- $\{A \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow C\}$ logically implies $\{A \rightarrow C\}$.
- $\{A \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow C\}$ is equivalent to $\{A \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow C, A \rightarrow C\}$.
- $\{A_1A_2 \rightarrow B_1B_2B_3\}$ is equivalent to $\{A_1A_2 \rightarrow B_1, A_1A_2 \rightarrow B_2, A_1A_2 \rightarrow B_3\}$.

Closure of attributes

Definition

[Attribute closure]

Let X be a set of attributes and F be a set of FD's. The **closure of X under F** , written as X_F^+ , is the set of all attributes B such that F logically implies $X \rightarrow B$.

- We omit the subscript F and write X^+ if F is clear from the context.
- To determine whether F logically implies $X \rightarrow Y$ it suffices to check whether $Y \subseteq X^+$.
- To see if X is a superkey of R , it suffices to check if X^+ contains all the attributes of R .

Computing attribute closure

Input: A set of attributes X and a set of FD's F

Output: X_F^+

1. $Z \leftarrow X$;
 2. **repeat**
 3. **if** ex. $X' \rightarrow Y'$ in F s.t. $X' \subseteq Z$ and $Y' \setminus Z \neq \emptyset$
 4. **then** $Z \leftarrow Z \cup Y'$;
 5. **until** (Z no longer changes);
 6. **return** Z ;
-

Figure: Computing attribute closure

- $F = \{A \rightarrow B, A \rightarrow C, CD \rightarrow E, CD \rightarrow K, B \rightarrow E\}$
- What is $\{A, D\}_F^+$?
- Is $\{A, D\}$ a superkey/candidate key?

Algorithm correctness

Correctness. $\widehat{X}_F^+ = X_F^+$, where \widehat{X}_F^+ is the set of attributes computed by the algorithm.

- $\widehat{X}_F^+ \subseteq X_F^+$. $X \subseteq X_F^+$ and by I.H. every new element introduced in line 4 is also in X_F^+ .
- $X_F^+ \subseteq \widehat{X}_F^+$. Let B be an attribute not in \widehat{X}_F^+ . It suffices to show that F cannot imply $X \rightarrow B$. That is, there is a table R s.t. (i) R satisfies F , and (ii) R does not satisfy $X \rightarrow B$.

Let $\widehat{X}_F^+ = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n\}$ and $\overline{\widehat{X}_F^+} = \{B_1, B_2, \dots, B_m\}$. We define R as

A_1	A_2	...	A_n	B_1	B_2	...	B_m
1	1	...	1	1	1	...	1
1	1	...	1	0	0	...	0

It should be clear that R does not satisfy $X \rightarrow B$. It remains to verify that R satisfies F .

Claim. R satisfies F .

We prove it by contraction. Let $X' \rightarrow Y'$ be an FD in F that R does not satisfy. By construction, we must have $X' \subseteq \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n\}$ and $Y' \cap \{B_1, B_2, \dots, B_m\} \neq \emptyset$.

It follows that all the attributes in Y' should also be included in \widehat{X}_F^+ (lines 3-4).

This contradicts to $Y' \cap \{B_1, \dots, B_m\} \neq \emptyset$. □

Closure of FD's

Definition

The **closure** of F , denoted by F^+ , is the set of all FD's logically implied by F .

Question. Given a set of FD's F , how to decide whether $X \rightarrow Y \in F^+$?

- **Approach 1:** compute X^+ and check whether $Y \subseteq X^+$.
- **Approach 2:** use Armstrong's axioms.

Armstrong's axioms

- **Reflexivity**: If $Y \subseteq X$, then $X \rightarrow Y$.
- **Augmentation**: If $X \rightarrow Y$, then $XZ \rightarrow YZ$.
- **Transitivity**: If $X \rightarrow Y$ and $Y \rightarrow Z$, then $X \rightarrow Z$.

Theorem (Armstrong '74). The Armstrong's axioms are both **sound** and **complete**.

- **Soundness**: Only correct FD's are derived.
- **Completeness**: Every FD in F^+ can be derived by using the axioms.

Motivation for canonical cover

- A set of FD's F defines a set of unique-value constraints.
- We want a **minimal** set F' of FD's to reduce constraint checking cost.
- F' should be **equivalent** to F to ensure correctness.

A **canonical cover** F_c of F is a minimal set of FD's equivalent to F .

Extraneous attributes

An attribute of a FD $X \rightarrow Y$ in FD is **extraneous** if we can remove it without changing F^+ .

- An attribute $A \in X$ is **extraneous** and can be removed from the LHS of $X \rightarrow Y$ if F logically implies $(F \setminus \{X \rightarrow Y\}) \cup \{(X \setminus \{A\}) \rightarrow Y\}$.
- **Example.** $F = \{AB \rightarrow C, A \rightarrow D, D \rightarrow C\}$
- An attribute $B \in Y$ is **extraneous** and can be removed from the RHS of $X \rightarrow Y$ if $(F \setminus \{X \rightarrow Y\}) \cup \{X \rightarrow (Y \setminus \{B\})\}$ logically implies F .
- **Example.** $F = \{A \rightarrow CD, D \rightarrow C\}$

Extraneous attributes (cont'd)

Let $X \rightarrow Y$ be a FD in F .

- $A \in X$ is **extraneous** and can be removed from the LHS of $X \rightarrow Y$ if

F logically implies $(F \setminus \{X \rightarrow Y\}) \cup \{(X \setminus \{A\}) \rightarrow Y\}$

$$\iff Y \subseteq (X \setminus \{A\})_F^+.$$

- **Example.** $F = \{AB \rightarrow C, A \rightarrow D, D \rightarrow C\}$.

B can be removed from $AB \rightarrow C$ since $\{A\}_F^+ = \{A, C, D\}$ and $\{C\} \subseteq \{A\}_F^+$.

- $B \in Y$ is **extraneous** and can be removed from the RHS of $X \rightarrow Y$ if

$(F \setminus \{X \rightarrow Y\}) \cup \{X \rightarrow (Y \setminus \{B\})\}$ logically implies F .

$$\iff B \in X_{F'}^+, \text{ where } F' = (F \setminus \{X \rightarrow Y\}) \cup \{X \rightarrow (Y \setminus \{B\})\}.$$

- **Example.** $F = \{A \rightarrow CD, D \rightarrow C\}$.

C can be removed from $A \rightarrow CD$ since $C \in \{A\}_{F'}^+$, where $F' = \{A \rightarrow D, D \rightarrow C\}$.

Canonical cover

Definition

A **canonical cover** F_c for F is a set of FD's **equivalent to F** such that

- No FD in F_c contains an extraneous attribute.
- Each LHS of a FD in F_c is unique.

Computing canonical cover

Input: A set F of FD's

Output: A canonical cover F_c of F

1. $F_c \leftarrow F$;
 2. **repeat**
 3. **for each** pair of FD's $X \rightarrow Y_1$ and $X \rightarrow Y_2$ in F_c **do**
 4. replace them with $X \rightarrow Y_1Y_2$;
 5. **if** ex. a FD in F_c with an extraneous attribute **then**
 6. remove the extraneous attribute and update F_c ;
 7. **until** (F_c no longer changes)
 8. **return** F_c ;
-

Figure: Computing canonical cover

Canonical cover example

Let $F = \{A \rightarrow BC, B \rightarrow C, A \rightarrow B, AB \rightarrow C\}$.

- $F_c^0 = \{A \rightarrow BC, B \rightarrow C, AB \rightarrow C\}$
- $F_c^1 = \{A \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow C, AB \rightarrow C\}$
- $F_c^2 = \{A \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow C\}$

Let $F = \{A \rightarrow BC, B \rightarrow AC, C \rightarrow AB\}$.

- $F_c = \{A \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow C, C \rightarrow A\}$.
- $F_c = \{A \rightarrow C, C \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow A\}$.
- $F_c = \{A \rightarrow C, B \rightarrow C, C \rightarrow AB\}$.