# Mathematical Logic (VIII)

### Yijia Chen

## **1 Completeness**

### **1.1 Henkin's Theorem**

Recall that we fix a set  $\Phi$  of S-formulas.

**Definition 1.1.** (i) Φ is **negation complete** if for every S-formula  $\varphi$ 

$$
\Phi \vdash \phi \quad \text{or} \quad \Phi \vdash \neg \phi.
$$

(ii)  $\Phi$  **contains witnesses** if for every S-formula  $\varphi$  and every variable x there is a term  $t \in T^S$ with

$$
\Phi \vdash \left( \exists x \phi \rightarrow \phi \frac{t}{x} \right).
$$

**Theorem 1.2** (Henkin's Theorem). Let  $\Phi \subseteq L^S$  be consistent, negation complete, and contain wit*nesses. Then for every* S*-formula* ϕ

$$
\mathfrak{I}^\Phi \models \phi \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \Phi \vdash \phi.
$$

**Corollary 1.3.** *Let* Φ ⊆ L <sup>S</sup> *be consistent, negation complete, and contain witnesses. Then*

$$
\mathfrak{I}^\Phi \models \Phi.
$$

*In particular,* Φ *is satisfiable.*

### **1.2 The countable case**

We fix a symbol set S which is at most countable. As a consequence, both T<sup>S</sup> and L<sup>S</sup> are countable. Let  $\Phi \subseteq \mathsf{L}^\mathsf{S}$  we define

$$
\text{free}(\Phi) := \bigcup_{\phi \in \Phi} \text{free}(\phi).
$$

We will prove the following two lemmas.

**Lemma 1.4.** *Let* Φ ⊆ L <sup>S</sup> *be consistent with finite* free(Φ)*. Then there is a consistent* Ψ *with*  $\Phi \subseteq \Psi \subseteq L^S$  such that  $\Psi$  contains witnesses.

**Lemma 1.5.** Let  $\Psi \subseteq \mathsf{L}^S$  be consistent. Then there is a consistent  $\Theta$  with  $\Psi \subseteq \Theta \subseteq \mathsf{L}^S$  such that  $\Theta$  is *negation complete.*

**Corollary 1.6.** *Let* Φ ⊆ L <sup>S</sup> *be consistent with finite* free(Φ)*. Then there is a* Θ *such that*

- Φ ⊆ Θ ⊆ L S *;*
- Θ *is consistent, negation complete, and contains witnesses.*

**Corollary 1.7.** Let  $\Phi \subseteq L^S$  be consistent with finite free( $\Phi$ ). Then  $\Phi$  is satisfiable.

*Proof:* By Corollary 1.6 and Corollary 1.3. ◯

Proof of Lemma 1.4: Recall L<sup>S</sup> is countable, thus we can enumerate all S-formulas

$$
\exists x_0 \varphi_0, \exists x_1 \varphi_1, \ldots,
$$

which start with an existential quantifier. Then we define inductively for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  an S-formula  $\psi_n$  as follows. Assume that  $\psi_m$  has been defined for all  $m < n$ . Let

$$
\mathfrak{i}_n:=min\bigl\{ \mathfrak{i}\in\mathbb{N} \bigm| \nu_\mathfrak{i}\not\in free\bigl(\Phi\cup\{\psi_m\mid m< n\}\cup\{\exists x_n\phi_n\}\bigr)\bigr\}.
$$

That is,  $i_n$  is the smallest index i such that  $v_i$  is not free in  $\Phi \cup {\psi_m \mid m < n} \cup {\exists x_n \varphi_n}$ . Then we set

$$
\psi_n:=\left(\exists x_n\phi_n\to\phi_n\frac{\nu_{i_n}}{x_n}\right)
$$

.

Next, let

 $\Phi_n := \Phi \cup \{\psi_m \mid m < n\},\$ 

and  $\Psi:=\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\Phi_n.$  It should be clear that  $\Phi$  contains witness. So what remains is to show that  $\Psi$ is consistent, or equivalently every  $\Phi_n$  is consistent.

Recall that  $\Phi_0 = \Phi$  is consistent by our assumption. Towards a contradiction, assume that  $\Phi_n$ is consistent, but  $\Phi_{n+1}$  is not. Therefore, for every  $\chi$  with  $v_{i,n} \notin free(\chi)$  there is a finite  $\Gamma \subseteq \Phi_n$ with the following deduction.



Now by taking  $\chi := \exists v_0 v_0 \equiv v_0$  and  $\chi := \neg \exists v_0 v_0 \equiv v_0$  we conclude that  $\Phi_n$  is inconsistent, which contradicts our assumption.  $\Box$ 

*Proof of Lemma 1.5*: Let  $\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \ldots$  be an enumeration of L<sup>s</sup>. For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we define  $\Theta_n$  by induction. First  $\Theta_0 := \Psi$ . Then,

$$
\Theta_{n+1}:=\begin{cases} \Theta_n\cup\{\phi_n\} & \text{if } \Theta_n\cup\{\phi_n\} \text{ is consistent,} \\ \Theta_n & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

It is immediate that every  $\Theta_n$  is consistent, and the consistency of

$$
\Theta:=\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\Theta_n
$$

follows. To see that  $\Theta$  is negation complete, let  $\varphi \in L^S$ , in particular  $\varphi = \varphi_n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Assuming  $\Theta \not\vdash \neg \varphi_n$ , we conclude  $\Theta_n \not\vdash \neg \varphi_n$  by  $\Theta_n \subseteq \Theta$ . Therefore,  $\Theta_n \cup {\varphi}$  is consistent. It follows that  $\varphi \in \Theta_{n+1} \subseteq \Theta$ , and thus  $\Theta \vdash \varphi$ .

In the next step we eliminate the condition free( $\Phi$ ) being finite.

**Corollary 1.8.** Let S be countable and  $\Phi \subseteq L^S$  consistent. Then  $\Phi$  is satisfiable.

*Proof:* First, we let

$$
S' := S \cup \{c_0, c_1, \ldots\}.
$$

For every  $\varphi \in \mathsf{L}^\mathsf{S}$  we define

$$
\mathfrak{n}(\phi):=\textup{min}\big\{ \mathfrak{n} \ \big| \ \textup{free}(\phi)\subseteq \{\nu_0,\ldots,\nu_{n-1}\}, \textup{i.e., } \phi\in L^S_n\big\},
$$

and let

$$
\phi':=\phi\frac{c_0\ldots c_{n(\phi)-1}}{\nu_0\ldots\nu_{n(\phi)-1}}.
$$

Then we set

$$
\Phi' := \big\{ \phi' \bigm| \phi \in \Phi \big\} \subseteq L^{S'}
$$

Note free $(\Phi') = \emptyset$ .

Claim.  $\Phi'$  is consistent.

Once we establish the claim, together with free $(\Phi') = \emptyset$ , Corollary 1.6 implies that there is an S'interpretation  $\mathfrak{I}' = (\mathfrak{A}', \beta')$  such that  $\mathfrak{I}' \models \Phi'$ . Applying the Coincidence Lemma with free $(\Phi') =$ ∅, we can assume without loss of generality that

$$
\beta'(\nu_i) = c_i^{\mathfrak{A}'} = \mathfrak{I}'(c_i). \tag{1}
$$

It follows that for every  $\varphi \in \Phi$ 

$$
3' \models \varphi' \iff 3' \models \varphi \frac{c_0 \dots c_{n(\varphi)-1}}{v_0 \dots v_{n(\varphi)-1}} \n\iff 3' \frac{3'(c_0) \dots 3'(c_{n(\varphi)-1})}{v_0 \dots v_{n(\varphi)-1}} \models \varphi \qquad \text{(by the Substitution Lemma)} \n\iff 3' \frac{\beta'(v_0) \dots \beta'(v_{n(\varphi)-1})}{v_0 \dots v_{n(\varphi)-1}} \models \varphi \qquad \text{(by (1))} \n\text{i.e., } 3' \models \varphi.
$$

That is,  $\mathfrak{I}'$  is a model for every  $\varphi \in \Phi$ . We conclude that  $\Phi$  is satisfiable.

Now we prove the claim. It suffices to show that every finite subset of  $\Phi'$  is satisfiable. To that end, let

$$
\Phi_0':=\big\{\phi_1',\ldots,\phi_n'\big\},
$$

where  $\phi_1,\ldots,\phi_n\in\Phi.$  Clearly free $(\{\phi_1,\ldots,\phi_n\})$  is finite, and  $\{\phi_1,\ldots,\phi_n\}$  is consistent by the consistency of Φ. By Corollary 1.6 there is an S-interpretation  $\mathfrak{I} = (\mathfrak{A}, \beta)$  such that for every  $i \in [n]$ 

$$
\mathfrak{I}\models\varphi_{i}.\tag{2}
$$

We expand the S-structure  $\mathfrak A$  to an S'-structure  $\mathfrak A'$  by setting for every  $\mathfrak i\in\mathbb N$ 

$$
c_i^{\mathfrak{A}'} := \beta(v_i). \tag{3}
$$

Then for the S'-interpretation  $\mathfrak{I}' := (\mathfrak{A}', \beta)$  and any  $\varphi \in L^S$ 

$$
3' \models \varphi' \iff 3' \models \varphi \frac{c_0 \dots c_{n(\varphi)-1}}{v_0 \dots v_{n(\varphi)-1}} \\
\iff 3' \frac{3'(c_0) \dots 3'(c_{n(\varphi)-1})}{v_0 \dots v_{n(\varphi)-1}} \models \varphi \qquad \text{(by the Substitution Lemma)}
$$
\n
$$
\iff 3' \frac{c_0^{2l'} \dots c_{n(\varphi)-1}^{2l'}}{v_0 \dots v_{n(\varphi)-1}} \models \varphi
$$
\n
$$
\iff 3' \frac{\beta(v_0) \dots \beta(v_{n(\varphi)-1})}{v_0 \dots v_{n(\varphi)-1}} \models \varphi \qquad \text{(by (3))}
$$
\n
$$
\iff 3' \models \varphi \qquad \text{(by the Coincidence Lemma)}.
$$

It follows that  $\mathfrak{I}' \models \Phi'_0$  by (2). Thus  $\Phi'_0$  is satisfiable.